

MAY 09 2005

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
W. DIST. OF N. C.

) Chapter 7 3. USC 213719

) Case Nos. 03-10358
) and 03-10360

) (Jointly Administered)

) Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232

)

)

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte* and on two motions for the withdrawal of reference pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(d). The motions were filed in two adversary proceedings now pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina (“Bankruptcy Court”). One motion was filed by Nathu Ram Puri, Upendra K. Puri, Purico (IOM) Limited, Purico US, Inc., RF & Son, Inc., and Purico GmbH in Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232. The second motion was filed by Nathu Ram Puri, Upendra K. Puri, Ajay Badwhar, Steve H. Smith, Purico (IOM) Limited, Purico US, Inc., RF & Son, Inc., Doorlock, Ltd., Ecusta Australia Pty. Ltd., Purico GmbH and Environmental Design Consultancy in Adv. Pro. No. 04-3233.

These two motions disclose to the Court that, *inter alia*, there are five adversary proceedings now pending in the Bankruptcy Court in the cases of RFS Ecusta, Inc. and RFS US Inc (the “Debtors”), including this one, all of which relate materially to the circumstances and transactions of the sale to the Debtors by P. H. Glatfelter Company of a papermaking plant Glatfelter operated in North Carolina as the “Ecusta Division” from about 1987 to August, 2001. The five adversary proceedings are:

- a. Cooper, Trustee vs. Nathu Ram Puri, et al, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3233,
- b. Cooper, Trustee vs. P.H. Glatfelter Company, et al, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232,
- c. Cooper, Trustee vs. Dechert, LLP, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3231.
- d. Cooper, Trustee vs. Nathu Ram Puri, et al, Adv. Pro. No. 03-3352, and
- e. Cooper, Trustee vs. P.H. Glatfelter Company, et al, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3069.

A review of the pleadings and the Bankruptcy Court dockets in these five adversary proceedings discloses a complicated series of events which have given rise to multiple claims, counterclaims and crossclaims.

The two adversary proceedings in which motions for the withdrawal of reference have been made, that is, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232 and Adv. Pro. No. 04-3233, both contain material non-core claims, timely jury trial requests by multiple parties, and statements by the parties that they do not consent to jury or bench trials of the non-core matters in the Bankruptcy Court. The Court concludes that the reference of these two adversary proceedings will be withdrawn pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(d) under the so-called permissive withdrawal standard for cause shown. In these two adversary proceedings there are proper jury trial demands and non-core material claims, and withdrawing the reference will efficiently use judicial resources, reduce the costs of litigation, and serve the goals of uniformity of bankruptcy administration, and there is no indication of forum shopping by the Puri movants.

The plaintiff, who is the Chapter 7 bankruptcy trustee for the Debtors, has recently moved in the Bankruptcy Court for the consolidation of three of the adversary proceedings: Adv. Pro. No. 04-3231, Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232 and Adv. Pro. No. 04-3233. The plaintiff's consolidation motion is supported by some defendants and opposed by others. A hearing on this motion for consolidation is presently scheduled for May 11, 2005 in the Bankruptcy Court. That hearing will not take place. The Puri movants for the withdrawal of the reference of two of the adversary proceedings have also moved for a stay of those two adversary proceedings while this Court decides the two withdrawal motions.

The Court concludes that for cause shown the reference of the Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232 and Adv. Pro. No. 04-3233 should be withdrawn, and that the Puri movants' motion should be

granted. Further the Court *sua sponte* has also determined for cause to withdraw the reference of Adv. Pro. No. 03-3352, Adv. Pro. No. 3231 and Adv. Pro. No. 04-3069 as these cases, together with Adv. Pro. No. 04-3232 and Adv. Pro. No. 3233, should be tried before one judge and possibly be consolidated or jointly administered. In any event a master discovery plan for the five adversary proceedings should be implemented.

The Court will nevertheless order the plaintiff in the five adversary cases to provide notice of this Order to all counsel in the five adversary proceedings that the Court intends to withdraw the reference of each case. In that regard any party to any of the five adversary proceedings may file an objection which this court will consider before entry of the withdrawal orders. In this regard, the Parties shall have ten days after service of this Order by the plaintiff¹ to respond. The plaintiff's counsel shall file a certificate of the service of this Order in each adversary proceeding.

The Court will stay all matters and proceedings in these five adversary proceedings until further order of this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that counsel for the parties in the five adversary proceedings identified in this Order shall have ten days from service of this Order by the plaintiff to object to a withdrawal of the reference by the undersigned Judge of all five adversary proceedings, after which the Court will consider the matter and enter orders accordingly in each of the five adversary proceedings. Any party who does object shall serve its objection on all

¹ The Court intends after withdrawal of the reference to continue a stay in effect in Adv. Pro. No. 04-3069, the adversary proceeding concerning the \$1.6 million deposited by a party with the State of North Carolina with respect to certain workers' compensation matters. That stay will continue at least until the opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals is entered in the Goodson state-court action.

The Court is also aware that the plaintiff's requests for jury trial and consolidation in Adv. Pro. No. 04-3231 are opposed by the defendant Dechert, LLP, and this Court would address those matters after the reference is withdrawn.

Finally, the Court is aware that at this time there are no jury trial requests in Adv. Pro. No. 04-3069 and Adv. Pro. No. 03-3352.

counsel in the five adversary proceedings. If a party does not object to withdrawal of the reference, there is no need to file a response.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for the plaintiff shall forthwith serve copies of this Order on all counsel in the five adversary proceedings, and file a certificate of service in each adversary proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all proceedings and matters in the five adversary proceedings identified above are stayed pending further Order of the undersigned Judge of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall notify the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court of the entry of this Order, including the stay of all further matters, hearings and other proceedings in the five adversary proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall open five civil files assigned to the undersigned Judge, one for each of the five adversary proceedings, and this identical Order shall be entered in each file.

This the 6th day of May, 2005.


GRAHAM C. MULLEN
Chief United States District Court Judge